

Part Three – The Racial Family Trust Instrument (Subtitle: “Ohhh – so *that’s* what the Preamble is!)



By Kenneth W. Lent www.solarsabbath.org September 1, 2009 – Common Law Copyright

“**Bequeath:** To hand down to posterity, transmit.”
(Britannica World Language Dictionary, 1954, Vol.1, page 132)

“**Posterity:** The stock that proceeds from a progenitor; a person’s descendants; also, succeeding generations, taken collectively; as, the posterity of Adam”
(Britannica World Language Dictionary, 1954, Vol.1, page 1024)

“**Trust:** a right in property held by one person, called the trustee, for the benefit of another, called the beneficiary, or *cestui que trust*.”
(Cochran’s Law Lexicon, 5th ed. 1888 – 1973, p.290)

“**Res:** a thing or things”
(Cochran’s Law Lexicon, 5th ed. 1888 – 1973, p.259)

Here’s how we have been taught to view the Constitution

“We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.”

Article I --

Section 1. All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

Section 2. The House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States, and the Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors

Here’s the original intent and lawful view of the Constitution

“We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.”

Article I

Section 1. All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

Section 2. The House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States, and the Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors - - -

Let's get a solid grasp on the fact of law that the whole scope of the Constitution falls under the purview of the Preamble! First we have to understand what the Preamble is. It's a Trust Document, which the reader will understand by the end of this essay. Second, we have to understand that everything that follows in the body of the Constitution (the powers, the stipulations, the oaths, the limitations, the duty of Congress, etc.) was established to be in alignment with the foundational intent of the Preamble.

"Its (Preamble's) true office," wrote early American Supreme Court Justice Joseph Story in his Commentaries 1833, *"is to expound **the nature and extent and application** of the powers actually conferred by the Constitution, and not substantively to create them."*

(J. Story, Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States (Boston: 1833), 462.)

Definition: "A preamble is an introductory statement, a preliminary explanation. The term is particularly applied to the opening paragraph of a statute **which summarizes the intention** of the legislature in passing the measure." <http://definitions.uslegal.com/p/preamble/>

In other words, the Preamble does not list or create the powers given to the servant of "We the people", that being the federal government under the Constitution; but rather it first explains the **nature** of any powers *later* to be listed in that document. *Then*, once that nature is properly understood, the Framers could sit down and create the specific and limited powers of the "servant" in the main body of the Constitution. What is the nature and intent of the Constitution? We must look to the Preamble to expand and clarify it. Bottom line ---- understand the Preamble and you will understand the purpose and scope of the entire original Constitution.

What "**nature**" was it that our Founding Fathers considered to be inherent in the Preamble that we have totally forgotten? It was the law of their ancestors who had passed down to them certain guarantees of their rights of inheritance that went back to their families in the days of Magna Charta. This too was testified of by the early United States Supreme Court:


*"We are bound to interpret the Constitution in the light of the law as it existed at the time it was adopted, **not** as reaching out for new guaranties of the rights of the citizen, but as securing to **every individual such (rights) as he already possessed** as a British subject -- such **as his ancestors had inherited and defended** since the days of **Magna Charta.**" Mattox v. United States 156 U.S. 237, 243 (1895)*

Our early American ancestors realized that all of what brought them to America from Europe was by the hand of "Divine Providence" (see the Declaration of Independence), and that God Almighty was the Master Controller of history down through the ages. We have also seen in our Part One that the Greek numerical value of the word "Christ" (in Mat.26:68) is 1215 which happens to be the year that Magna Charta was signed by our Saxon ancestors. The number of the "city (government) in Zion" seen in Isaiah 60:14 is 1776. Furthermore the numerical value of the "**set time**" chosen by Yahweh to have His children declare their "favoring the dust (soil)" of their inheritance, Zion (Eden, USA) is

1787 according to Psalm 102:14, which is the year that marks the Preamble and its Constitutional provisions. How much of this did the Founding Fathers tie in with their reason for the War of Independence against Britain? And how much did they know about the “seed line” inheritance of God Given Rights that was genetically passed down from Adam to Abraham to the tribes of Israel to European Saxondom? They knew a lot -- but we were never told, as for those of us today to realize these things would be “politically incorrect” and even “forbidden”.

Certain things need to be discussed maturely at this point, for to ignore them would bring us right back to the problem censoring truth.

Do you see the picture below? It is a picture of the front cover of the “Journal of the Proceedings of the Congress held in Philadelphia on September 5, 1774”



Now we are going to enlarge the emblem on the cover to see what it is. Please do not misinterpret this to be lewd or inappropriate but rather it denotes the genetic racial aspect of a family of people who (unlike Church Christians today) honored their heritage protection and dedication. The symbol is both Saxon and Abrahamic with Biblical roots.



What is the above illustration? This is what the 1776 war was fought over. It is the First Seal of Congress showing a male organ pillar held by twelve hands, and standing upon the scroll of Magna Charta (1215AD # of 'Christ', in history), which is laid upon a book (that of the English Church, The Bible). It is the Seal under which the Patriots planned their break from the tyranny of England which country had by then succumbed to the banking house of the European goldsmiths. On the outer edge of the Seal we have the Latin words "On This We Rely", "This We Defend".

The men that designed the Seal and who attended Congress were devout Christians who were pillars of the Faith, so this is not some lewd drawing for strange motives. Recall the early US Supreme Court case quoted on the previous page:

*"rights --- such **as his ancestors had inherited and defended** since the days of **Magna Charta.**"* Mattox v. United States 156 U.S. 237, 243 (1895)


Magna Charta itself ascribes these inherited rights as encompassing *"those of our ancestors and heirs --- our heirs in perpetuity"*. Past "Ancestors" would include the early Disciples, the Israelites, and even back to Adam. The "heirs in perpetuity" would include later England and America. Since the Magna Charta ancestors had these rights too, wherever those ancestors (the Israelites) settled, those same rights would as well apply – not only in England. The rights are by our Nature, and the Nature is Adamic.

Notice the specifics of the seal in that the hands are all "right hands": *"And when James, Cephas, and John, **who seemed to be pillars**, perceived the grace that was given unto me (Paul), **they gave to me and Barnabas the right hands of fellowship**; that we should go unto the nations, and they unto the circumcision."* (Galatians 2:9)

The "right hand to right hand friendship grasp" between Saxons (sons of Isaac) was viewed by the Apostles as a friendship and fellowship hold of allegiance between strong men who were called "pillars". But where an "oath grasp" for more serious matters were concerned in the ancient Biblical world, because of the extreme importance of God's

covenant with Abraham by circumcision, it was the placing of a hand near that “manhood” upon the outer garment that sealed the oath. It was Biblically the duty of men to uphold the structure of the offspring family of Adam, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and all posterity of Israel *not only in faith*, but in faith and *race*. In this the War for Independence was not only about common liberty. It was about genetics going all the way back to Eden in the Book of Genesis. In fact, the Book of Genesis, is just that, “gene – sis” or about “genes” and the inherant makeup which is programmed into the different people on the planet. In truth, the Bible is all quite scientific and genetic in its teachings about government and the Kingdom of Jesus Christ.

This first Seal of Congress is about our rights that we have *inherited from the procreation seed* of our Christian Adamic Saxon ancestors who also knew in the days of Magna Charta that they too had inherited them from *yet previous generations* of their kin. So valuable was the knowledge of rights belonging to a family that in fact this symbol goes all the way back to Abraham (and further) as we see in the Bible. It was Abraham’s grandson, Jacob, who became heir to the seed line that produced *12 sons* and the tribes of Israel. We also know that the early American patriots were very much “Israelite aware” because Benjamin Franklin soon after designed a proposal for the Seal of the United States as shown below:



This supposed "least religious" of the Founders himself (Franklin) described the Seal as "*Moses standing on the Shore, and extending his Hand over the Sea, thereby causing the same to overwhelm Pharaoh who is sitting in an open Chariot, a Crown on his Head and a Sword in his Hand. Rays from a Pillar of Fire in the Clouds reaching to Moses, to express that he acts by Command of the Deity.*" [By the way, this should explain who Franklin’s “Deity” was] The Motto reads “*Rebellion to Tyrants is Obedience to God.*”

(Above source: *The History of the United States Seal*, p.10- Gaillard Hunt, pub. Dept.of State, 1909)

But what is the further underlying truth of the meaning of the depiction of “hands on the male organ”, the banner of our First Congress, and the fact that it goes back to the rights mentioned in Magna Charta, and Magna Charta says it goes back to the past ancestors even before that year of 1215 AD? The meaning of this very serious and very

valued symbolic illustration can be traced to our ancestor Abraham in the Bible. I am asking that the readers approach this revelation with an opened mind for the sake of getting to the real truth which affects us yet today in modern America. It is not my intention to offend anyone by pointing out that there is a racial genetic angle to our struggle for freedom.

In Genesis chapter 24 we read that Abraham, who was given his land of Canaan as a Freehold possession from God Almighty, had become old and was concerned about who his son Isaac would marry, in that any resulting offspring would possess the inheritance. As the story unfolds we see that Abraham wanted his eldest servant to promise that only a woman of the kindred stock of the same race as Abraham would do as his daughter-in-law.

Gen. 24:2 “*And Abraham said unto his eldest servant of his house, that ruled over all that he had, **Put, I pray thee, thy hand under my thigh:***”

3 *And I will make thee swear by Yahweh, the God of heaven, and the God of the earth, that thou shalt **not take a wife unto my son of the daughters of the Canaanites,** among whom I dwell:*

4 *But thou shalt go unto my country, and **to my kindred, and take a wife unto my son Isaac.**”*

7 “***Yahweh God of heaven,** which took me from my father's house, and from the land of my kindred, and **which spake unto me, and that sware unto me, saying, Unto THY SEED will I give this land;** he shall send his angel before thee, and thou shalt take a wife unto my son from thence.”*

9 “*And the servant put his **hand under the thigh of Abraham** his master, and sware to him concerning that matter.” [Rebekah became Isaac's wife and welcomed into the family. V. 67]*

As you may have already guessed, the regular “thigh” doesn't have anything to do with producing “seed” or passing a Freehold Land Inheritance down to subsequent generations. The Hebrew word for “thigh” in the above specific verses is “YAW-RAKE” and is listed in **Strong's Concordance** at #3409, defined as “*to be soft, the thigh--- by euphemism the generative parts*” This word is also used in Ex.25:31; 37:17, Num.8:4 as “SHAFT”. It's more than evident what kind of “shaft” it was that pertained to his seed line, that Abraham made his servant put his hand under, in swearing to obtain a proper wife for Isaac, Abraham's son. (Obviously just a hand placement from the outer garment). Bible historians note that this area nearest to the covenant sign of circumcision that Yahweh pledged to Abraham was SWORN TO so that the right to the Freehold Land Inheritance would only go to Abraham's Adamic **posterity** and kept within the same race, “kin”/kindred. The depiction of the Saxon Magna Charta “right hands on the male organ” is a graphic Biblical symbol of the Saxon (Isaac's sons) right of inheritance by the Bible law of descent and distribution. That right was inherent in Adam, passed down to Abraham, to Isaac, to Israel, to dispersed Israel of Europe, to England, and to America. This was the seal of our First Congress. **This** is what the War For Independence was really all about. Inherited Saxon rights!

Dr. George Lamsa's *Aramaic Peshitta Old Testament English* translation of Gen.24:2,3 reads:

*“And Abraham called his eldest servant, the steward of his house, who was in charge of everything that he had; and he said to him, Put your hand **under my girdle**; and I will make you swear by the LORD (YHVH), the God of Heaven and the God of Earth, that ye shall not take to my son a wife of the daughters of the Canaanites ---”* (Men were then girded in that private body part with a loin cloth. Job 38:3; 40:7; Gen.35:11; 46:24)

Adam Clarke's Commentary on the Bible shares this understanding as well. Adam Clarke (1760 –1832) was a Methodist Bible scholar born in Britain. Clarke's commentary on the Bible which took him 40 years to complete was a major Christian reference tool for over two centuries. Concerning Genesis 14:22 Clarke's Bible Commentary reference note makes the following observation:

- - (on) Verse 22. “I have lift up mine hand” (note) *The primitive mode of appealing to God, and calling him to witness a particular transaction; this no doubt generally obtained among the faithful till circumcision, the sign of the covenant, was established. After this, in swearing, the hand was often placed on the circumcised part; see chap. xxiv. 2, 9.”* (Adam Clarke's Commentary on the Bible) emphasis added

Adam Clarke, as did other Bible scholars, knew about the historical and posterity significance of Genesis 24:2. Most English versions, however, have merely been too timid in translating the meaning correctly on the unfortunate grounds that it may be too graphic. Although intentions of the mistranslation may have been well meant, it has removed all pertinent aspects of “racial inheritance” from the covenant that Yahweh God made with Abraham. Of course, the mistranslation of “private parts” to “thigh” would be more politically correct in today's multicultural society of universal theology. The American Founding Fathers, though, DID realize the ancestral rights connected with their Christian Faith and were bold in proclaiming it.

There is much more on this subject of oaths taken on the sacred circumcision area by our ancient ancestors, without those men viewing it as obscene, but we need not extend the topic endlessly here except for one notation that should be mentioned if some need more convincing. Do you know, Christian believer, that our very word for **“Testament”** used to describe the Holy Books of the Bible comes from the same root word as “Testicles”, the area of racial oaths sworn to by our Israelite ancestors who placed sacred value on the Adamic family seed line? The root for both these words mean “witness”. This customary form of oath was known all the way up into the days of the Roman Empire and it was passed from the Hebrew Biblical origins into the Latin language. Here's what an etymology (word origins) reference book has to say about our Christian word **“Testament”**. A reading of the definition is self explanatory.

[Origins: A Short Etymological Dictionary of Modern English. By Eric Partridge; London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1958. Pg 3425 **Testament:** “(Testament), testamental, testa-mentary, testamur, -- testator – testatrix – testicle, testicular, --- testify,

testimonial – pl. testes – attest, attestation – etc. All these words originate in Latin “testis”, a witness”.]

This is why the New Testament is only to racial Israel of the seed of Abraham as our Master, Jesus Christ, testified: “*I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the House of Israel*” (Mat.15:24) This statement of Christ’s was but a genetic witness of what Yahweh had already stated in the Old Testament books: “*And ye (Israel) shall be holy unto me: for I Yahweh am holy, and have severed you from other people, that ye should be mine.*” (Leviticus 20:26) “*He sheweth his word unto Jacob, his statutes and his judgments unto Israel. He hath not dealt so with any nation: and as for his judgments, they have not known them. Praise ye Yahweh.*” (Psalm 147, 19,20)

The Colonial Americans were the Israelites, as shown in Ben Franklin’s Seal, and they were the distant sons of Jacob’s twelve sons. The Congressional First Seal shows twelve hands on the “divine right of the Freehold Land Inheritance”. America is the real land inheritance that Abraham and the other Patriarchs looked for but awaited in the future, the “heavenly country” of Hebrews 11:16. Whether or not the American Colonists knew that America was Eden, home of their (our) first father Adam, is not known. Nevertheless it was all about the posterity and Freehold God Given Rights to the land as handed down as far back as they could recollect. The foretold year “set” to declare the Freehold Inheritance in the latter day Kingdom was to be 1787 (Psalm 102: 13, 14; page 13 Part Two, this Law Commentary). This is the year of the Preamble of the United (E)states Constitution. The Preamble is a Trust Instrument, and written as such to keep passing down the Inheritance Rights to the next, and next, and next generation of the posterity -- and to no other people. The posterity is considered to be all who are from Adam.

Who qualifies as the heirs (beneficiaries) to the trust? --- the Saxon law says all their kindred generations of the family before Magna Charta and all the kindred generations after Magna Charta. This would mean the family of Adam altogether. Most of them came over to America as Europeans after the years of the Mayflower in 1620, but others had landed here long before. These would be described as the true Indigenous Adamic People of North America, which would include some but not all of the American Indians. Several of the Eastern tribes such as the early Algonquin and Iriquois have shown evidence of Gaelic in their languages but “liberal types” downplay the idea as “racist” on the grounds that it will upset non-white Indians if positive research is carried out. But proof is surfacing which shows that it is actually Caucasians who were here first even in light of the constant liberal pressure to stifle the truth. The school text book theory that “Asian Indians were first to inhabit America” is now falling apart in light of recent discoveries.

John J. Miller, reporter for The National Review periodical wrote in June 9, 2001; Anthropology: The perils of looking into American prehistory : “They (archeologists) also found evidence to support one of the most provocative developments of our time: the growing suspicion among physical anthropologists, archaeologists, and even geneticists that some of the first people who settled in the New World were Europeans.”

Likewise, as with other evidentiary books and top new research documents, we see from *Footprints of the Welsh Indians Settlers of North America before 1492* ISBN: 0-87586-299-3, William L. Traxel, 2004 Algora Publishing:

“17th-19th c. American memoirs cite meetings with "White" Indians, and linguistic, archeological, and anthropological evidence from Alabama to the Dakotas suggest that Welshmen were among the first settlers of America.”

Anthropologists and archaeologists are beginning to voice their decidedly changing views about the whole matter too long swept under the rug, such as in this article from The Japan Times, Nov.3, 1999 “*Scientists say Iberians 1st N. American settlers*” <link (Sad too is the fact that Americans have to read about a scientific report given in Santa Fe New Mexico USA in a foreign newspaper!)

However, most of the Western warring Indian tribes such as the Apache and Commanche were of oriental stock that crossed the land bridge between Russia and Alaska and would not be “Adamic” or truly indigenous to America, if we are seeking a high degree of technicality on the matter. These tribes made war with and hunted down the “civilized tribes” on the continent before the Europeans arrive en mass. The “indigenous topic debate” could go on for months of discussion but we want to stay on track with the law aspect of the Trust Document nature of the Preamble, as the Founding Fathers knew the law explicitly and organized their declarations accordingly. They were Bible believing Saxon Christians who knew the history of Magna Charta and the inherent rights of their generations passed down to their posterity.

Instead of me taking the time to “reinvent the wheel” on this subject I would like to quote a treatise written by a brilliant lady who had been active in the “Patriot Movement” from the 1970’s and has been “through the fire” with the rest of us from those decades. Quite a multi-talented person, and researcher of the organic law and rights issues of our nation’s beginings, Burness Speakman has put the facts down in writing. Nobody says it like “Bunny” Speakman does on this subject, so I’m including it below with permission from her, the author. Burness is not a participant or “member” of our Fellowship here in Virginia, but we have had some communication on these matters. I agree with so much of the greatest content of her essay that it is definitely worth including at this point in our study. You will soon realize that the “Law of the Land” which our American forefathers valued was much more than today’s perception of it being some legislative statute that rules over us. The “Law of the Land” was, by right, the very Law of the SOIL (dust of Eden as the Bible reveals) upon which we walk.


Another reason I’m including “Bunny’s” treatise is because of the misinformed claims distributed by some Internet Web Sites and chat blogs where rumors abound claiming that “We the People” mentioned in the Preamble, made some sort of ungodly tyrannical government bent on “destroying our God Given Rights”. That view is, of course based on complete ignorance of the law and events at the time of the Constitution’s writing in 1787. Those who drafted the Preamble and it’s Constitution were God fearing Christians and in no way considered the term “We the people” to mean that they were raising men up over

God. Their Bibles, from which most of them learned to read and write as children, told them (and us):

Psalm 95:7 “*For He is our God; and **we are the people** of His pasture, and the sheep of His hand.*” Psalm 100:3 “*Know ye that Yahweh He is God: it is He that hath made us, and not we ourselves; **WE are HIS people**, and the sheep of his pasture.*”

The “People’s” intent was based on Bible Law as the foundation, and applied and administered by the Saxon law of the day. Thus, Speakman quotes and explains quite a bit of the Trust Law of Descent and Distribution of that era, which will totally refute the theory that there was “some evil law at work” by wicked minded men with designs to enslave us with The Constitution. Know this however – later the unlawful 14th Amendment changed the scope of the Constitution and original intent to where we actually have a “dual document” with today’s Constitution as it stands, exactly as we have with “modern translations” of the Bible that can make the Scriptures appear something they are not – a universalist multicultural demand for communalism. “Original intent and law” is everything, and Burness’ article will open your eyes. A study of the law behind the Preamble will educate the reader to the needed awareness that the Founding Fathers were implimenting a Trust Instrument indeed, and not just some flipant statement glorifying the humanist paths of men when they penned the words “We the people”. Take it slow and repeat if necessary as you’ll get a lot out of this.

As you read the lesson, remember the beckoning call of our Scriptures that there is a natural ‘land-soil-dust’ connection between Adam, Eden, America, and us.



Our Legacy Denounced --- *Burness Speakman*

The finest legacy the world has ever known was bequeathed to you. I have no doubt that you probably think you know all about it. I assure you do not.

In increments and for close to one hundred years it has been torn apart, taken for granted, renounced and forsaken. There is no one to blame for your loss, except your own ignorance and your own desire to believe in an illusion handed to you in the form of truth.

You have been led to believe in a lie, and it is your belief in an illusion that hides the truth. I cannot tell you that it is not too late to uphold the legacy, my only hope is that it is not.

For a while, set aside everything you know, everything you have been told or taught. For one minute consider you know nothing. There is a legacy hiding in the document called

the Constitution For the United States of America, one that is not shown to anyone. The obvious is shown, talked about and supported. The obvious elements we are taught, falls within the realm of commerce and many have speculated the whys of how you and I got entangled into the snare of the commercial laws. Of course we are not told that we are subject to commerce, but there is the overwhelming sense of it and many, many know it to be true. Our freedoms are being diminished in mass amounts of commerciality with more and more laws being piled upon each other.

How is it that we have become commercial entities? One will first pounce on it and blame the 14th Amendment and all legislation that has occurred since its inception, and I cannot deny that to be the truth of the matter. But that Amendment is not the beginning, it is the ending. I am going to present to you that the Constitution is a document of dual nature, first a Trust instrument, and secondly articles of Incorporation. The corporate aspect has been obvious to many of us for a very long time. We have been being subjected to this corporate aspect, expecting something other, like the freedoms mentioned and implied in the document, only to come up against brick walls. Injustice and un-justice. What is going on? They can't do that we say. I say unto you they most certainly can and I will tell you why.

We have heard it said there are supposed to be **3 sovereigns** in America, the people, the state, and the federal government. The inheritance of sovereignty is not, nor has it ever been written down. One does not become a "Sovereign" through any kind of writing. A queen does not become a queen from her father's will, deed, grant or anything else. She becomes a Queen under the Laws of "Descent and Distribution". How is it that the people of this country claim to be sovereigns? Do you think the Constitution gave it to you? The people, or persons, of sovereignty in this country became so under the Laws of Descent and Distribution! So why is it that you do not know how these laws work upon your freedoms and sovereignty? Why is it that you think your Right to bear arms comes from a Constitutional Amendment? Or your Right to free speech? Where do you think your Rights come from and why can't you get them? How does the Law really work?

Your "**RIGHTS**" are derived from the land you stand upon (the Laws of Real Property) and your relation (status) to that land. Your "**STATUS**" is determined from the "Laws of Descent and Distribution". The Laws of this country revolve around these two hubs.

For example:

#1. If you own a piece of land and you do not have to pay taxes on it and you can burn, pillage, dam the stream up. You did not acquire this land from any deed, writing, will, or grant. You have an ESTATE of "freehold". Your RIGHTS, your rank, everything is determined by this land you hold.

#2. If you own a piece of land, you pay taxes, have a deed (whether paid in full or not). you do not have an estate of freehold, but one of the many other defined estates of what is called lessor value. Your RIGHTS, your status, your rank, your citizenship, everything is determined by the land you hold.

In example #1 you received this land from your father, who would have died intestate in this day and age and they had no deed. In example #2 you received this land from your father, or mother who died and left you the property in a will, deed, trust, corporation, or grant. Under the Laws of distribution ANYTHING received from a relative in a WILL is considered PURCHASED.

If this be true

How is it that you came to be subject to the Uniform Commercial Laws, are losing your freedoms, and are subject to a multitude of medieval customs? This should give you some hints.

How is it that you are construed to have PURCHASED your freedoms, status, rights, rank, citizenship, etc.? Where is that Will, Deed, Grant that manifested your purchase?

The Legacy, Our Very Own



The above medallion is the First Seal of the First Continental Congress of the United States of America. It hides the real truth and secret behind the Revolutionary War. The words in Latin around the border state "On This We Rely", "This We Defend". Why are 12 hand apparently holding onto what seems to be an organ? Why is this phallic symbol setting on the Great "Magna Charta" of England? And why "Rely" and "Defend" what is represented here? This medallion shows what the Founders of this country were willing to lay down their LIVES for, willing to lose their fortunes and give their sacred honor. This symbol is not pornographic, or dirty. It was SACRED, and therefore never brought before the eyes of an ignorant society to be laughed at and scorned. Our Birthrights as native born Americans and Indigenous people of this continent own what is symbolized here. It is ours and has been denied the true owners, first the Indigenous peoples later the Native born. Our ignorance has been thrown in our face and innocence has been taken advantage of.

Time is growing short, we are allowing it to slip away, soon it may cease to exist forever. It is ignorance, not someone or something else, that prevents us from obtaining the inheritance that is found in our very own Magna Charta, the Constitution For the United States of America

Preamble

We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide forth common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity,(1)do ordain (2)and establish (3)this Constitution (4) for the United States of America.

How many times have you looked at this portion of this document only to avoid noticing the Preamble? How many times have you looked at the Constitution only to find an Article, Section, or Amendment that you felt was being broken, or you wanted to uphold? How many times have you looked at the Preamble and saw absolutely no power residing there save what the document was supposed to be about? I want to inform you that the Power is in the Preamble, your power, the freehold, the power that came from God to you, is right there and I shall show you where that power is.

The Preamble is not just an announcement nor is it a collection of hollow words making something occur. It has a legal construction that is as old as mankind himself. It is THE Inheritance passed by Descent and Distribution, and as with all inheritances that are to be legally recognized and passed on, it is constructed and written just like a Trust Instrument would be, so that it would have the thrust of Ageless Law. As a Trust instrument the document itself falls under the jurisdiction of the "Statute of Uses, Wills, Enrolment, The Statute of Frauds, Statute Against Collusive and Fraudulent Conveyances and the Reinstatement of Trusts, the Laws of Real Property, and Descent and Distribution. These statutes will probably sound out of context to our problems but bear with us here. There is more to the illusion than meets the eye.

We are going to research Trusts in the remainder of this writing, so that when we finally get down to it, we are going to enforce this Grand Document, backed by all the power it carries with it, against those who dare to destroy, suppress and steal our rightful inheritance. We are not Esau, we are Israel(5)

We will be delving into Wills, because annexed to the Constitutional Trust is a will like structure. The will aspect of the Constitution are the amendments. The Trust existed BEFORE the Codicil; the Constitution with the Preamble and articles (Trust) was ratified and signed on September 17, 1787 and the Will/Codicil(6)aspect was not added until December 15, 1791(7) four (4) years after the Trust Instrument was created. Therefore these dates show that the Trust and Res was already in existence, which would be necessary in order for a Trust to be created. The Amendments are "Civil Rights", a "codicil", and an Independent Trust Res and Covenant. It is debatable whether they are a restatement of the Res or not. Fierce arguments between the representatives of the colonies ensued over whether they should be included or not, with great reason. The

founding fathers knew the Constitution could be interpreted through the "Articles of Confederation", and "Declaration of Independence". Their INTENT was to pass on a "Trust", a trust that could not be changed. They knew and understood that a "Will" could and would be changed by codicil, constantly changing the intent of the document as was written. They also knew that legally they could not pass sovereignty of the people, to the people in a will. The Amendments are a summery or restatement rights. Please remember that the legal "Construction" of a document will be look at as one looks at a "Deed, Will, Grant", nothing more. This is Constitutional Law. Since the Founders did not want the Constitution to be constructed as in a "will" the amendments were argued upon and not added until four years later. Unfortunately, with the passing of the Fourteenth Amendment what they did not want to happen, happened.

The intent of the Constitution was to bequeath Freedom, life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, the 14th Amendment changed the intent, under the disguise of offering a citizenship that never existed before, to be and intent of constriction upon the people, and first step in absolute control and complete loss of the legacy.

For those of you who are unaware, the Fourteenth Amendment was never duly executed. In order for it to be passed, half of the Legislatures of the, then established States, were thrown out office and replaced with military officials taking orders from above, to pass the Amendment. Upon its passing the President did announce, that the fourteenth Amendment was "illegal, null and void" due to the violation committed upon the Constitution.(8) But what violation "upon the Constitution"? Was it the apparent replacement of the Legislators or something other? We have not had a lawful, Constitutional Government since then.

The Trust

The Founding Fathers could not legally and non-discriminately manifest ANY document of legal force without existing law authorizing it. It just can't be done, not even today. Nothing conflicts under the law, it is most always an offshoot of something already in being, even though twisted.

A quote from the "Statute of Wills (St. 34 and 35, Henry VII, 1542-1543)

"Persons *** shall have full and free liberty, power and authority to give, dispose, "will" or devise to any person or persons (Except bodies politick and corporate(9)) by his last will and testament in writing, or otherwise by any act or acts lawful executed in his life"

No Corporation could have been created by our government to pass on Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness. The Founding Fathers could only follow what they already knew as law.

Hereditaments could only be passed on to a "Body Politick", i.e. "We the People" and the peoples "posterity", by way of a Trust or as was then called "Use", as shown in:

The Statute of Uses (St. 27 Henry VIII (1536) Ch. 10)

" *** That where any person or persons stand or be seized, or at any time hereafter shall happen to be seized of...***... or other hereditaments, to the use, confidence or trust of any other person or person, or body politic.

Under the construction of documents the Constitution passing on an inheritance could only be created for a body politic, under Henry's statute of Uses. At the time, **NOTHING** could be passed on to an heir without falling under one of these two Statutes. It was one or the other not both.

Then, as today, instruments had to be filed somewhere, and under the "Statute of Enrollments" the Constitution had to be duly recorded: [Note: Job 22:28 "Thou shalt also decree a thing, and it shall be established unto thee: and the light shall shine upon thy ways". – Ken, added]

(St. Henry VII (1536) Ch. 16) "Be it enacted... no manors, lands, tenement or other hereditaments, shall pass...***...except be made by writing, indented, sealed, and enrolled in one of the Kings, courts of record at Westminster, (2) or else within the same county or counties where the same manors, lands or tenements, so bargained and sold, lie or be....."

The "Statute Against Collusive and Fraudulent Conveyances" prohibited any underhanded intent behind a conveyance of inheritance.

(St. 27 Elizabeth I (1585) Ch 4) "The Queens most excellent Majesty,***.... and BODIES POLITICK,may have, incur and receive great loss and prejudice by reason of fraudulent conveyances, (By way of)(3)secret intent of the parties the same to be to their own proper use, and at their free disposition, (4) coloured never the less by fained countenance and shew of words and sentences, as though the same were made bona fide, ..." "Said former conveyance, ...shall be deemed, taken an adjudged to be void, frustrate, and of none effect, by virtue and force of this present act."

The Statute of Statute of Frauds included Wills and Corporations and any "devise in writing":

(St. 29 Charles II (1676) Ch. III) 1. For prevention of many fraudulent practices,....6. And moreover, no devise in writing of (ect.) Or hereditaments shall... be revocable, otherwise than by some other will or CODICIL, in writing, or other writing declaring the same.... (2) but all devises and bequests of lands and tenements shall remain and continue in force, until the same be burned, canceled torn or obliterated by the testator, or his directions or in manner aforesaid, or unless the same be altered by some other WILL OR CODICIL in writing, or writing of the divisor, signed in the presence of three or four witnesses, declaring the same; any former law or usage to the contrary notwithstanding.

7.All declarations or creations of trusts or confidences of.... hereditaments, shall be manifested and proved by some writing signed by the party who is by law enabled to

declare such trust.

Number 7 is most important. Reflect that the Constitution is a Trust and that it passes an inheritance. It follows this law!

8. Provided always, that where any conveyance shall be made of any lands, or tenements by which a trust or confidence shall or may arise or result by implication or construction of law, or be transferred or extinguished by an act or operation of law, then and in every such case such trust or confidence shall be of the like force and effect as the same would have been if this statute had not been made: anything hereinbefore contained to the contrary notwithstanding.

THIS IS THE COMMON LAW, and number 8 is the statute being imposed upon us in this day and age. When we say the Constitution for the United States of America is "**THE LAW OF THE LAND**" we are not only saying it is the law of the country. It is the law of the dirt over which you are walking, and the rights you have are attached to that soil, and your status and relationship to it. This is the Common Law, and hopefully before you finish reading this you will understand that "all that is has always been, there is nothing new under the sun". You are and have always been within the realm of the Common Law, and that it is in full force and effect when it comes to the Constitution and yes even (while) under the 14th Amendment. Trust Laws gain authority from the previous Trust laws. The Common Law, does and shall prevail. Our problem has been that we have not understood that the Constitution was a Trust instrument from its inception.

Our Constitution derived its authority from the above Laws, it in itself did not create anything new in law with the exception of a unique Trust Res and Estate of Inheritance.

I don't think many if any of us have looked upon the Preamble as a writing establishing a trust, and if we have, the full legal meaning has never really struck home. Blacks Law 5th Edition defines "trust" as:

"A right of property real or personal, held by one party for the benefit of another. A Confidence reposed in one person, who is termed trustee, for the benefit of another who is called Cestui Que Trust (Beneficiary) respecting property which is held by the trustee for the benefit of the Cestui Que trust. Any arrangement whereby property is transferred with intention that it be administered by trustee for another's benefit.

The written history of Trusts or Uses go back to Biblical times. Our particular laws regarding them were derived from English Law and the Restatement of Trusts. The "restatement" is imply a restatement of the English "Use" Statutes.

Restatement, Second Trusts Sec. 2 . . . is a fiduciary relationship with respect to property,

subject in the person by whom title to the property is held to equitable duties to deal with the property for the benefit of another person which arises as a result of a manifestation of an intention to create it.

Does not our Constitution hold certain rights in trust for us to be used exclusively by us? This is property. Rights are property, Rights are corporeal and incorporeal hereditaments. The Trustees are the Legislators, they were "granted" authority to maintain the Trust. The Trustees were also granted the authority to make money to maintain the Res in proper condition.

They were not granted the Authority to Change the Intent of the Original Trust, except by written change. That is what the 14th Amendment did. It created a new trust and trust res. It created a new Estate.

How do I recognize the Preamble and Constitution as a Trust? Let us look first at the requisites of an Express Trust.

1. It must have a competent settlor and trustee.
2. It must have an ascertainable Trust Res.
3. It must show sufficiently certain beneficiaries.
4. A trust comes into being only upon execution of an intention to create it by the parties having legal and equitable control of the subject matter of the trust.

Does the Preamble and Constitution show a competent settlor and define the trustees? Yes it does. The settlor is established as "We the People". And the body of the constitution, (*Articles*) establishes the trustees and their duties.

Does the Preamble and Constitution ascertain the trust res (thing) being passed on?(10) Absolutely. "The Blessings of Liberty". Keep in mind the founding fathers had already defined the meaning of liberty prior to the establishment of the Constitution. If you require someone else to tell you what your liberties are and define them for you, then **YOU ARE NOT FREE.(11)**

Does the Preamble show sufficient, certain beneficiaries? Absolutely! To "ourselves and our Posterity".

Did the founding fathers have equitable control of the subject matter discussed in the Preamble and Constitution? Absolutely!

Does the Preamble state an intention (12) for which the document was created. It certainly does. In Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, Insure domestic

Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity".

Does it show an intention to manifest? Yes. "Do ordain and establish this constitution for the United States of America" In just a few words the Founding Fathers created a document of immense meaning.

An express trust or as they sometimes are called are "direct" trusts and are those trusts **INTENTIONALLY** created by the direct and positive act of the settlor by some **WRITING**, deed, **OR WILL**, or oral declaration.(13)

The Founding Fathers were not ignorant farmers, they were highly educated. They knew about Uses, Trusts, Hereditaments, Conveyances, Fraud, Uses, and Wills. In that day and age it was a requirement to know Latin and Greek to enter a College of higher learning.(14)And they knew these languages at an early age. Their legal knowledge was implemented in the making of the Constitution. Why? Fraud, the founders did not want to commit fraud any more than we would and their writings were legal under the Statute of Frauds.

Can you see the Statutes of Henry VII, Elizabeth I and Charles II in the following American Laws?

"A writing not intended specifically by the parties to be used as an actual memorandum of trust, may never the less, be sufficient to satisfy the Statute of Frauds" Restatement, Trusts 2d sec. 47

"A typical provision of the Statute of Frauds is that a writing required to create or manifest a trust be signed by the parties creating or declaring the trust."

"A Memorandum is sufficient to satisfy the Statute of Frauds, it sets forth with reasonable degree of definiteness the trust property, the beneficiaries and the purposes of the Trust, Restatement 2d section 46.

Do you see that the Preamble qualifies under even the last quotation. Do you think George and the rest of the good ole boys wanted to be caught at FRAUD? Absolutely not! The Constitution was created in the form of a trust so as to stand under the Construction of documents and under the laws of the day. This document was not just thrown together without forethought and without complete knowledge of the then existing laws.

Just because the Preamble is called Preamble and not Trust does not mean it changes the character of the document. "The Test is not what the instrument is called but what the person executing it designed to have it accomplish".(15)

Amendments

The Amendment do not constitute the trust in fact. They are annexed to the trust, perhaps

as restatement to what was already known. They could be in fact be considered a "codicil" I firmly believe that is why the argument between the founders. A codicil would have diminished the value of the trust not enhance it. Under the law of the day beneficiaries and what they received were not discussed or mentioned since that would limit the property. It was taken for granted the Res was the largest one could receive, not the smaller one could receive. Once mention was made of the Res and who was to receive it a particular restriction was placed upon it, making it smaller and bringing the property into the realm of commerce. Under the construction of Wills anything received in a will has been purchased.

A trust, after it is completed and in force cannot be amended or altered without the consent of all parties in interest except under reserved power of amendment or alteration. An amendment of a Trust is ordinarily possible by parties "in interest" (the people, trustees) and against parties without VESTED interest. We, the 14th Amendment Citizens of the United States do NOT have a vested interest in the Trust or trust res. The Trust cannot legally be changed without the approval of the **SOVEREIGN STATE CITIZENS**, but a will can!

Now stop and think about this. The parties in interest up until the 14th Amendment was created were the citizens of the "states" **(16)**. The method of amending may only be asserted as defined in the Trust, and when the eleven legislatures of the southern states were kicked out of office and replaced by military representatives in order for the 14th Amendment to be ratified, violated the original trust. But it could also stand under the Common Law, because it is republished now, as a codicil annexed to it; and turned the Amendments into an instrument that was against parties without vested interest. We now have a document with duality. We have two separate trusts, one for those who have a vested interest in it and one for those who do not have a vested interest in it. United States citizens can have no vested interest in the Original Trust as it is a creature of congress not nature.

Blacks Law Dictionary 5th, Codicil; A supplement or an addition to a will; it may explain modify, add to, subtract from qualify, alter, restrain or **REVOKE PROVISIONS IN EXISTING WILL**.

The Common Law thus filters down toward us. The 14th Amendment can be viewed as a codicil to the a Will, which republished the Constitution with new meaning, changed the intent behind it and turned it into a testamentary instrument, and instrument with capabilities of being used against the free born inhabitants through voluntary revocation. Without their knowledge or consent.

Testamentary paper or Instrument An instrument in the nature of a will; an un-probated will; a paper writing which is of the character of a will though not formally such, and, if allowed as a testament, will have the effect of a will upon the devolution and distribution of property.

Testamentary **INTENT** is never retroactive. **(17)** It must occur with the writing or it is of

no effect. (18)

In order to determine whether or not an instrument is a will, it is necessary to ascertain the INTENT with which it was executed. (19)

The Government does not like to discuss the **14th** Amendment citizenship, in court or otherwise. Someone once mentioned that on the form 1040 in the address bar is asks to place "label" here. This word was looked up in a law dictionary only to find that it referred to a "codicil"

Blacks Law 5th Edition: Label, Anything appended to a larger writing, as a codicil.

Does this make one wonder now what the connection between the Internal Revenue Code, Wills, 14th Amendment and you really is? The 14th Amendment is now **THE SUPREME LAW**. To repeal this amendment would destroy the codicil and the power of the Federal Government.

A will is restored to the form in which it stood before a codicil was executed, where the codicil is revoked by destruction of the instrument. (20)

A codicil plainly inconsistent with provisions of a will operates as a revocation of the will even in the absence of any express words of revocation and the inconsistent provisions of the will must yield to the codicil.(21)

OK, so you say, whoa, wait a minute, you keep talking about codicils and wills when you started out with the Constitution as being a trust. Now I say to unto you, If you understand how codicils and wills work you will understand how the construction of written instruments are viewed in the higher courts. There is really not much deviation. It was my contention long ago that law, real law was not so convoluted that it could not be understood. Life is simple, so is real law, it is the monopoly of law that makes it so mysterious.

Now this last quote is very important. The provisions of the "will" **MUST YIELD** to the codicil. In other words, the Civil Amendments 1 - 10 **MUST** yield to the 14th Amendment. This is scary folks. This Amendment is not a freehold, and justice now lies in being "Subject to the Jurisdiction thereof."

When a person applied for a Social Security number and gave evidence of birth, and claimed to be a United States Citizen, a party with no vested interested in a Freehold, and a trust or its Res, that person literally genocided the Posterity that he once was. ESAU! Giving up ones birthright for pottage! Security! Social Security! No wonder God has turned his back on us. You do so willingly and then you want what was promised to the Posterity. But what do we get? We get "equality" and it is not as equal as the "real thing".

A court is called upon to construe a "codicil" as consistent with a will is possible.(22)

When a person places his/her name and address on that area of the 1040 that states, Place label here, he/she attracts to him/herself the codicil and republished the Constitution with the 14th Amendment. You have literally declared on the 1040, that the Sovereign Citizen is deceased, the decedent retains no interest in the property and that you in your dual nature as a paper citizen are now the executor of the 'state. I know this is hard to swallow and hard to follow. The king is dead, long live the king.

If you don't think you can be a decedent and an executor at the same time, here is an excerpt from Title 26, USC section 2041(b)

2041(b)(1) General Power of Appointment, the term "general power of appointment" means a power which is exercisable in favor of the decedent, his estate, his creditor, or the creditors of his estate; except that-

(A) a power to consume, invade or appropriate property for the benefit of the decedent which is limited by an ascertainable standard relating to the health, education, support or maintenance of the decedent shall not be deemed a general power of appointment.

Now if you could not follow me before how could you follow that? How could a standard relating to the health, education, support or maintenance of a decedent occur if the meaning of "decedent" means:

Blacks Law De Lux, fourth edition, - A deceased person, especially one who has recently died.

The Sovereign Citizen, the citizen of the states are the decedents! You have renounced the Trust.

The Founding Fathers were the Testators and the "testator's purpose in making the codicil may be found in the codicil itself" Of course there was no codicil when the founding fathers created the Constitution, their evil counterparts did the dastardly deed.

Separate and several trusts may be created by the same instrument(23) and a question whether a single trust or separate trusts have been created by a trust instrument is important in connection with income taxes.(24)

The Fourteenth Amendment created a dual natured Constitution, and to be truthful, it is necessary. There will always be those who prefer the safety and security of bondage. A society with slaves prospers. Fortunately some of us do not feel that way. These people are being taken care of because they are not capable of taking care of themselves. The Federal Government, literally, has taken away your ability to survive, and to make you TOTALLY dependent upon it, in order for you to give up your most valued possession, the Trust Res. All those wonderful plans created by the Federal Government, Old Age Benefits, Medical Benefits, Un-employment, workmen's compensation, Bank Accounts, Credit, your Job, Your Mortgage, Etc., are aspects of the Testamentary 14th Amendment codicil, and you want all of them.

Freedom is an aspect of the Soul, and I personally do not consent to sell my soul. I plan on leaving this realm with as much, if not more than what I came in with. The duality of the Constitution is absolutely necessary for the purposes of which it was designed for. To destroy one would be to go against the laws of God and Nature. So therefore the problem with America is; we are out of balance; the scales are tipping to heavily to one side; and everything could go up in smoke .

Termination of a Trust

The Trust may be terminated by the CONSENT of the Beneficiaries.(25) (Consent, a voluntary action, like filing an income tax return) There is no one mode of terminating a Trust. A trust may be terminated by contract, or agreement, a conveyance, by beneficiary renunciation or release, transfer or assignment to a third person, or consent. Pursuant to this, do you have any idea what your signature means on a legal paper trust in your face by your tax accountant, the State, the department of motor vehicles, the social security department, etc., etc. If you don't think it means termination of the trust, ask yourself just where did the Rights in the Constitution go/ Do you blame "THEM" for their disappearance. Sorry you are the one terminating the trust. When everyone in this country signs a document of termination and repudiation, what is left is an inferior Will, a Codicillus. That is where YOUR Rights have gone. You have exchanged them for protection and security. How insecure are you with your God or secure with your god? You are not a Sovereign Citizen even if you would like to think you are. A Queen does not become a Queen because a Will has made her one, and this country has become a nation full of sniveling cowards, afraid to claim their inheritance, but await someone to give it to them.

A Breach of Trust

You, and all of you have been misled by the trustees, you do not remember your inheritance as the founders of this country did. They were educated men, men who read. Today, the biggest past time is who will win the World Series, or some sports event. Have a bottle of beer and watch the news. News that continues the delusion of grandeur we are accustom to living in. Watch the bombs go off the children die while the news tell you why this is supposed to be. You have eyes and cannot see and ears that only hear what you are told to believe. How trusting you are, you the ignorant. The Trustees have breached the Trust and their duties for profit for themselves at your expense.

A breach of trust of duty by a trustee is a violation of correlative right of the Cestui Que Trust, and gives rise to a liability on the part of the trustee and a correlative cause of action on the part of the beneficiary for any loss to the trust estate. The rule is applicable in respect to both positive acts and omissions or negligence constituting a breach of duty by the trustee. (26)

A trustee liability for breach of trust is personal (there goes their immunity) in character with all the consequences and incidents of personal liability and is enforceable against his

estate.

A trustee breaching his duty comes within the maxim that "equity will not aid one who comes into court with unclean hands (it's about time)

When the trustees have made acts of omission the beneficiary can question the propriety of the trustees. The Beneficiary had to have had, full disclosure, full knowledge of all the material facts and circumstances. A beneficiary must have had knowledge of and understood their **RIGHTS. (27)**

And the Beneficiary is **UNDER NO OBLIGATION TO SEARCH PUBLIC RECORDS. (28)**

The old adage that you "knew or should have known" will just not work in the case of the trust. How could you have known when there were acts of omission, non-disclosure, lack of understanding of your Rights, and when everything you were told was done so in order to mislead and deceive you and coerce you into giving up your beneficial interest in the Trust. On the other hand ignorance of the Law is NO excuse for a Fourteenth Amendment citizen.

"Enforcement of a constructive trust (codicil trust) in favor of those named in a will which testator was prevented by fraud, duress or undue influence from executing, against those who have thus obtained decedents property, does not annul the Decedents Estate Law, The Statute of Fraud or the provisions of the Statute of wills as to the mode in which a testamentary disposition **(29)** must be effected."

Repudiation of the Trust

With this in mind, I am of the opinion that the Trustees are attempting to repudiate the Trust. Why else would they go through so much trouble to cover up the facts to the beneficiary.

"The Beneficiary must have notice of the repudiation and one frequent expression of this rule is that knowledge of the repudiation must be "Brought Home."**(30)**

Do you get it? Have you gotten it yet? Has it been brought home to you yet? How long must the message be sent to us. Have the trustees not gone out of their way to have us convey, transfer, contract, or assign our beneficial interest in the Res by way of threats. Have you not felt that Justice is missing? Justice resides in the Trust, Just-Us resides in the Will.

Enforcement of the Trust

An action or proceeding to enforce a trust or to enforce the liability of a trustee for breach of trust can be brought only by one who has a qualifying interest in the subject matter. The beneficiary or one in his right is ordinarily the proper party to bring an action to enforce the liabilities of the trustee for breach of trust.

The rule is followed in some jurisdictions that before a suit can be brought against a trustee for breach of trust he must have had notice of the duty that he is required to perform, and have had an opportunity to perform it. (31)

This says that you have to demand that the Trust Res be handed over to you as a beneficiary of the Trust, and that you have to give the trustees time to do so. I think 24 hours should suffice, don't you? But by Statute, (not common law) **they have 60 days to make an account to you.** Don't confuse this with accounting. Accounting falls in the realm of business, money, etc. To make an account to you means, disclosure of all the facts, such as "account for yourself, Buddy".

What I use in support of the Trust Res, is the Preamble, the Articles of Confederation and the Declaration of Independence, memorandums of the Trust which satisfies the Statute of Frauds. These memorandums define and describe the Trust Res sufficiently enough for me. The Law has always fallen back on the Articles of Confederation in order to find the **INTENT** of the founding fathers.

It is the Articles of Confederation that created the Sovereign States, not the Constitution. It is the Articles of Confederation that created free inhabitants of the Sovereign States, not the Constitution. It is the Articles of Confederation that created the union, not the Constitution.

The Articles of Confederation ARE in FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.


It is the Magna Charta of England 1215 from whence we derive our birthrights restated in the Preamble of the Constitution for the United States of America.

It is from the Holy Scriptures whence the Magna Charta of 1215 gleaned those rights, that were attached to the land itself that God gave to Abraham and it is His covenant that we break when we sell our freedoms for security under the government of the United States. The wording used to transfer the land to Abraham from God was stated in specific words, these words were used in transferring freeholds until the 1800's. The words are still found in deeds, wills and other documents transferring property. They are the words of inheritance defining the size of the freehold. To Ourselves and our Posterity are those words of inheritance. In God we Trust does not only mean, in God I have faith.

1. Posterity, New College Edition, The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Houghton Mifflin, 1. Future generation. 2. All of a person's descendants. Blacks law contains no definition of posterity.
2. Ordain, New College Edition, 2.a. To order by virtue of a superior authority, b. to decree as a part of the order of nature or of the universe, 3. To prearrange unalterably.
3. Establish, New college Edition, 1. To make firm or secure, fix in a stable condition. 3. To cause to be a recognized and accepted without question. 4. To originate on a firm, lasting basis; to found 5. To create a state institution of.....
4. Constitution, 1. The act or process of constituting. 2. The composition of something made of a number of parts of; make up; compose. 4. The United States Constitution. I believe it was called the "Constitution" because the founding fathers composed it of a number of parts. Webster's original dictionary referred to our Constitution as our Magna Charta.

5. Periodically religious correlations will be placed herein, not because of a connection to any religion but because of the historical, truth and spiritual value of this matter. The story of Esau tells a story of a first born son that gave up his inheritance for security.
 6. The Virginia Bill of Rights, dated June 12, 1776, States in Section 2 "That all power is vested in and consequently derived from the people; that magistrates are their TRUSTEES AND SERVANTS and at all times amenable to them. Here we have explicit mention of the "trustees". The Amendments of the Constitution were fashioned after this document.
 7. These dates are taken from American Jurisprudence 2d Desk Book Item No. 1, U.S. Constitution.
 8. From the Congressional Record -- House of Representatives June 13, 1967, House Concurrent Resolution 208 of the Louisiana Legislature.
 9. Words of old English sections are not misspelled.
 10. Trust res: The property of which the trust consists.
 11. Freedom does not mean unrestraint, nor lawlessness. To the contrary, with true freedom comes heavy responsibilities, moral and ethical responsibilities. I do not condone blatant injury, disregard or disrespect for someone else or their property.
 12. The cardinal rule of construction is, of course, to determine the intention of the parties, where such a creation is a bilateral matter. *Colton v Colton* 127 US 300, 32 L Ed 138, 8 S Ct 1164.
 13. 76 American Jurisprudence 2d section 15
 14. The AVERAGE reading ability of a normal person, at the time the constitution was created, was equivalent to 17 years of formal education. Today's average reading ability is 7 years of formal education. The founding fathers had a formal education equivalent to a masters degree. They did not sit around the "tube" all night, they read and stimulated their minds with knowledge.
 15. 79 American Jurisprudence 2d Wills, Section 24
 16. Look up the definition for estate in Webster's dictionary of 1828
 17. The 14th amendment manifested a testamentary intent for a specific class of persons, but it is not retroactive upon the Original Constitution, therefore, two independent covenants.
 18. *Re Pagel's Estate* 52 Cal App 2d 38, 125 P2d 853.
 19. *Dixon v Dameron's Adm'r.* 256 Ky 722, 77 SW2d 6 I claim the intent of the founding fathers was to create a trust in the Preamble, so just what was the Intent of the Legislators in the 14th Amendment?
 20. *Re Schnorr's Estate*, 4 Cal 2d 590, 51 P2d 424
 21. *Homer v Brown* 57 US 354; *Bosley v Wyatt* 55 US 390
 22. *Newcomb V Webster* 113 NY 191; *Price v Maxwell*, 28 Pa 23
 23. *United States Trust Co. V Commissioner* 296 US 481, 56 S Ct 329
 24. See American Jurisprudence 2d, Federal Taxation
 25. *Heifetz v Band of America Nat. Trust and Savings Assoc.* 147 Cal App. 2d 776 "Restatement, Trusts 2d Sec. 337 (1).
 26. 76 American Jurisprudence 2d Trusts
 27. 76 American Jurisprudence 2d Trusts
 28. *McAllister v McAllister* 120 NJ Eq 407, 184 A 723, *affd* 121 NJ Eq 264, 190 A 52 *afd* 121 NJ Eq 249, 190 A 53. The Beneficiary is presumed to be reposed in innocence as in contradistinction to a citizen abiding in ignorance.
 29. American Jurisprudence - Wills
 30. American Jurisprudence 2d on Trusts
 31. *Brent V Maryland* 85 US 430 21 L Ed 777
-

End of Our Legacy Denounced



A closing word to Christian America from Ken Lent:

Dear Law Commentary Readers,

We are now well on the way to grasping the true Biblical intent of our Founding Fathers in their application of the Saxon Common Law to lay claim to the great inheritance they realized was passed down from their long line of family generations – generations that go all the way back to Adam. The Preamble to the Constitution is a Trust Instrument written in light of the meaningful language of the era. Yahweh God requires His children to decree their honored intentions and actions. (Job 22:28). The Preamble sets the nature of the rest of the Constitution (the Articles). Lawfully, the rest of the Constitution must follow the intent of the Preamble. If any amendment or deceitfully added section of change to the Constitution violates the posterity estate intent or the Freehold nature of the Preamble, that section is automatically void by fraud.

We today are the beneficiaries (Posterity) of the Trust, and the “thing” (the Res) being passed down is “The Blessings of Liberty”, which include all the rights to the land. The Trustees are the officers of the Articles who were given power to, and expected to maintain the Trust and its purpose. Sadly, just as the pulpit ministers have failed in their job to teach God’s Laws, the Trustees (officers of Congress, the Executive, and the Courts) have failed to uphold and maintain the Biblical Trust. They have not guarded it for future generations. In this, the Trustees have acted in a negligent if not criminal manner.

The people as well have been given the liberty and opportunity in the Commonwealth government for every household in America to be living by God’s Laws. But the people (like the ministers, and the Inheritance Trustees) have also not done what they are supposed to do, for Jesus said: “*If you love me keep my commandments*” (John 14:15). When a future generation returns to the true Commonwealth to enforce all of God’s Laws, then we will have good government and its fruits once again.

But the fault is not with the Founding Fathers or the original Constitution and never was. They had it right. The fault lies with the people themselves who have lost their vision. The Constitution was decreed by the Christian Common Law of its time, and the Common Law arises from its Commonwealth roots mentioned by Paul the Apostle in the book of Ephesians 2: 12 & 20. True American Constitutionalism is true Biblical Commonwealth government in action, and it is the New Testament vehicle ordained by God to enforce His unchanging Laws in this the latter days. There is not one stipulation in the original Constitution that prevents anybody from obeying the Laws of God for their family and their community, nation wide. That duty is up to the people.

Why doesn’t the Constitution mention Christ or God? Simply because the nation’s government already was Christian perpetually at the time of the drafting of the Constitution and had been for a long time. The Constitution never changed that. Therefore, to answer people’s questions concerning the matter, it was never the purpose of the Constitution to declare “America to be Christian” within its text. That truth was

already solidly established and understood. As part of the Christian nation already in existence, the purpose of the Constitution was then to deliver the Inheritance to future generations. By nature that experience only applies to Saxon Christians. The “We the people” were Christians and nobody had to explain that to them, any more than it was necessary to put signs on trees saying “This is a tree”. The nature is self evident on its own merits of existence. This is why the Founding Fathers wrote about “Nature and Nature’s God”.

“WE” don’t need to apologize to antichrists by cowering to their demands that we must write upon us a tattoo that says “our government is Christian” before we may proceed to walk anywhere. Kissing their feet to obey such a demand would be an impediment to our liberty and our free right to travel by the natural rights of our natural inheritance to do so. We are what we are by nature. The Constitution (Commonwealth Trust) was written for “us” not for “them”. What “they” say or accuse us of does not matter because they are “false accusers” (*‘devils’* Grk NT) when they claim that “the Constitution is not Christian”. To say that the Constitution needs to state that the original government is Christian is straying off into a totally non relevant area of argument, and results from not being aware of the Bible/Saxon Law of Freehold Land Inheritance given to our race from God Almighty, and the history of that Divine Law starting with Adam.

Our Heavenly Father has been teaching our family the skill of righteous government and we have grown from Adam’s rule, to the Judges of Israel, to the Kings, to the New Testament Ecclesia Commonwealth, to the advanced Commonwealth of Eden Restored - *America The Beautiful*. Same LAWS – different administrations as we mature. It is our Father’s Will to teach us different administrations in all matters spiritual and all matter temporal as we need them. *“And there are differences of administrations, but the same Master”*. (I Cor.12:5, *‘governments’ too*, v28). Each administration has been useful to enforce Yahweh’s unchanging laws within their own days. You do not have the same friends now that you had when you were five years old. You don’t dress or talk the same now as you did when you were five. But you are the same person. As a nation we aren’t riding donkeys any longer, we don’t have swords for weapons, we don’t send messages by parchment carried in a sack. But we are the same people with the same natural Laws and Rights. Now Yahweh has given us His NT Commonwealth government administration to get the job done. If we would mistakenly throw out the original Constitution we may as well be tossing out the national opportunity to enforce God’s Laws. Our adversaries seem to realize this better than we, for they have labored hard for their cause demanding that BOTH God’s laws and the original Constitution be banned from our society’s government.

Failing to recognize proper divine administration and progression of the Saxon race through history puts us “out of tune” with Yahweh’s plans to bring us together as brothers. In such a situation we would be like the ancient Israelites who didn’t know when to go into battle or when not to go into battle, (Num.14:42-45) thus living in a stupor of confusion. The Commonwealth is timely and is now. Preaching that “we need to obey Yahweh’s Laws”, and actually following His timely administration to do so, are two different things altogether.

We have made the full cycle home. That doesn't mean that the enemy, who wants the world as their possession, has left America alone after the Trust/Preamble was announced in 1787 according to the set time of Psalm 102:13,14. They obviously haven't. But now we have a second chance. And this time around our King has remedied our weakness by covering us with His blood as a shield, and has given us of His Spirit to embolden our hearts within. This time the "serpent world system" won't cause us to lose our home.

Our "rights" are our Inheritance assurance that the Land is ours, because we are "of this Land". Our appropriate action is to remove all unlawful amended adulteration of the "inheritance decree" (Constitution) such as the 14th Amendment and other unlawful parts added after 1787 not compatible with the Preamble, and to fill all positions (Trustee officers) of the Articles with Saxon Christians of the seed of Adam, our father and first governor of Eden. Bible law is to be applied through the mechanism of the New Testament Commonwealth of the (E)states that have been bequeathed to us by God.

It appears now that in order for this to take place a divinely sent "storm" will be arriving from Yahweh to shake the grip of those who have unlawfully shut down the Inheritance Trust. We are indeed living in prophetic times and perilous events again will unfold in our land by the judgment of Yahweh God. However take heart as all this was meant to be "*And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are the called according to His purpose.*" (Romans 8:28)

The Bible tells us that His purpose is to grant us an inheritance in Eden as He had purposed a long time ago. After millennia of being sidetracked from our mission we have returned, and the divine plan of restoring all things is well under way. (Acts 3:21) The Preamble is our written witness to the "set time" of our inheritance restored – 1787.

We are of Eden. We are Adam redeemed. We are Americans. --- Ken Lent

Our King has told us that He is coming here to receive us into His Kingdom:

"In my Father's house are many mansions: if it were not so, I would have told you. I go to prepare a place for you. And if I go and prepare a place for you, I will come again, and receive you unto myself; that where I am, there ye may be also." -- John 14:2,3

"And I heard a great voice out of heaven saying, Behold, the tabernacle of God is with men, and he will dwell with them, and they shall be his people, and God himself shall be with them, and be their God." -- Revelation 21:3

The centuries long work of Jesus (Yahshua) Christ in building His Commonwealth Kingdom on Earth to share with all His disciples of all the ages is depicted below.

Adam's Family Rule Restored

Garden *Inheritance*: White Ecclesia Kingdom: = 2520 years after dispersion
504 + 504 + 504 + 504 + 504 as planned in 744BC =1776

Yahweh's USA New Testament Commonwealth *Isa. 18:7 Mat.21:43 Eph.2:12,19,20*

All combined as one to administer ...

Liberty in Jesus 888x2 1776 Gal.5:1

***Declaration of Independence
Articles of Confederation and Perpetual Union
Constitution For the United States of America***

Inheritance 'set time' 1787 Ps.102: 13,14

...the Law of Christian Government

The land (Eden, Zion, New Jerusalem, America), and the family (Adam's heirs) are under attack yet once again. However since Christ has gone to the cross, this time it will not be us who will leave The Kingdom.

*“And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven, Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night.
And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death.” (Rev.12:11)*

“And there shall in no wise enter into it any thing that defileth, neither whatsoever worketh abomination, or maketh a lie: but they which are written in the Lamb's book of life.” (Rev.21:7)

< Back to America Bequeathed Part Two

< Back to Law Commentary Index Page

